

# **Mplify Standard**

**Mplify 124.1** 

# LSO Cantata and LSO Sonata Trouble Ticket and Incident Management API - Developer Guide

November 2025

#### Disclaimer

© Mplify Alliance 2025. All Rights Reserved.

The information in this publication is freely available for reproduction and use by any recipient and is believed to be accurate as of its publication date. Such information is subject to change without notice and Mplify Alliance (Mplify) is not responsible for any errors. Mplify does not assume responsibility to update or correct any information in this publication. No representation or warranty, expressed or implied, is made by Mplify concerning the completeness, accuracy, or applicability of any information contained herein and no liability of any kind shall be assumed by Mplify as a result of reliance upon such information.

The information contained herein is intended to be used without modification by the recipient or user of this document. Mplify is not responsible or liable for any modifications to this document made by any other party.

The receipt or any use of this document or its contents does not in any way create, by implication or otherwise:

- (a) any express or implied license or right to or under any patent, copyright, trademark or trade secret rights held or claimed by any Mplify member which are or may be associated with the ideas, techniques, concepts or expressions contained herein; nor
- (b) any warranty or representation that any Mplify member will announce any product(s) and/or service(s) related thereto, or if such announcements are made, that such announced product(s) and/or service(s) embody any or all of the ideas, technologies, or concepts contained herein; nor
- (c) any form of relationship between any Mplify member and the recipient or user of this document.

Implementation or use of specific Mplify standards, specifications or recommendations will be voluntary, and no Member shall be obliged to implement them by virtue of participation in Mplify Alliance. Mplify is a non-profit international organization to enable the development and worldwide adoption of agile, assured and orchestrated network services. Mplify does not, expressly or otherwise, endorse or promote any specific products or services.

#### Copyright

© Mplify Alliance 2025. Any reproduction of this document, or any portion thereof, shall contain the following statement: "Reproduced with permission of Mplify Alliance." No user of this document is authorized to modify any of the information contained herein.

#### **Table of Contents**

- List of Contributing Members
- 1. Abstract
- 2. Terminology and Abbreviations
- 3. Compliance Levels
- 4. Introduction
  - 4.1. Conventions in the Document
  - 4.2. Relation to Other Documents
  - 4.3. Approach
  - 4.4. High-Level Flow
- 5. API Description
  - 5.1. High-level use cases
  - 5.2. API Endpoint and Operation Description
    - 5.2.1. Seller side API Endpoints
    - 5.2.2. Buyer side API Endpoints
  - 5.3. Specifying the Buyer ID and the Seller ID
  - 5.4. Model Structural Validation
  - 5.5. Security Considerations
- 6. API Interactions and Flows
  - o 6.1. Use case 1: Create Ticket
    - 6.1.1. Interaction flow
    - 6.1.2. Create Trouble Ticket Request
    - 6.1.3. Create Trouble Ticket Response
    - 6.1.4. Trouble Ticket Lifecycle
  - 6.2. Use Case 2: Retrieve Ticket List
  - 6.3. Use Case 3: Retrieve Ticket by Ticket Identifier
  - 6.4. Use Case 4: Patch Ticket by Ticket Identifier
  - 6.5. Use case 5: Cancel Ticket by Ticket Identifier
  - 6.6 Use Case 6: Ticket Resolution Confirmation
  - o 6.7. Use Case 15: Retrieve Incident List
  - 6.8. Use Case 16: Retrieve Incident by Incident Identifier
  - 6.9. Use case 17: Register for Event Notifications
  - 6.10. Use case 18: Send Event Notification
- 7. API Details
  - 7.1. API patterns
    - 7.1.1. Indicating errors
      - 7.1.1.1. Type Error
      - 7.1.1.2. Type Error400
      - 7.1.1.3. enum Error400Code
      - 7.1.1.4. Type Error401
      - 7.1.1.5. enum Error401Code
      - 7.1.1.6. Type Error403
      - 7.1.1.7. **enum** Error403Code
      - 7.1.1.8. Type Error404
      - 7.1.1.9. Type Error409
      - 7.1.1.10. Type Error422
      - 7.1.1.11. **enum** Error422Code
      - 7.1.1.12. Type Error500
      - 7.1.1.13. Type Error501
  - 7.2. Management API Data model
    - 7.2.1. TroubleTicket

- 7.2.1.1. Type TroubleTicket Common
- 7.2.1.2. Type TroubleTicket Create
- 7.2.1.3. Type TroubleTicket
- 7.2.1.4. Type TroubleTicket Find
- 7.2.1.5. Type TroubleTicket Update
- 7.2.1.6. enum TroubleTicketPriorityType
- 7.2.1.7. Type IssueRelationship
- 7.2.1.8. enum TroubleTicketSeverityType
- 7.2.1.9. enum MEFObservedImpactType
- 7.2.1.10. Type TroubleTicketStatusChange
- 7.2.1.11. enum TroubleTicketStatusType
- 7.2.1.12. enum TroubleTicketType
- 7.2.1.13. Type Reason
- 7.2.1.14. Type WorkOrderRef
- 7.2.2. Incident
  - 7.2.2.1. Type Incident
  - 7.2.2.2. Type Incident Find
  - 7.2.2.3. enum IncidentType
  - 7.2.2.4. enum IncidentStatusType
  - 7.2.2.5. Type IncidentStatusChange
- 7.2.3. Common
  - 7.2.3.1. Type AttachmentValue
  - 7.2.3.2. enum DataSizeUnit
  - 7.2.3.3. Type FieldedAddressRepresentation
  - 7.2.3.4. enum MEFBuyerSellerType
  - 7.2.3.5. Type MEFByteSize
  - 7.2.3.6. Type Note
  - 7.2.3.7. Type RelatedContactInformation
  - 7.2.3.8. Type RelatedEntity
  - 7.2.3.9. Type SubUnit
- 7.2.4. Notification registration
  - 7.2.4.1. Type EventSubscriptionInput
  - 7.2.4.2. Type EventSubscription
- 7.3. Notification API Data model
  - 7.3.1. Type Event
  - 7.3.2. Type TroubleTicketAttributeValueChangeEvent
  - 7.3.3. Type TroubleTicketEventPayload
  - 7.3.4. Type TroubleTicketInformationRequiredEvent
  - 7.3.5. Type TroubleTicketResolvedEvent
  - 7.3.6. Type TroubleTicketStatusChangeEvent
  - 7.3.7. Type TroubleTicketStatusChangeEventPayload
  - 7.3.8. Type IncidentAttributeValueChangeEvent
  - 7.3.9. Type IncidentCreateEvent
  - 7.3.10. Type IncidentEventPayload
  - 7.3.11. Type IncidentStatusChangeEvent
  - 7.3.12. Type IncidentStatusChangeEventPayload
- 8. References
- Appendix A Acknowledgments

# **List of Contributing Members**

The following members of Mplify participated in the development of this document and have requested to be included in this list.

# Member Amartus Colt Technology Services

**Table 1. Contributing Members** 

#### 1. Abstract

**Proximus** 

This standard is intended to assist implementation of the Trouble Ticketing functionality defined for the LSO Cantata and LSO Sonata Interface Reference Points (IRPs), for which requirements and use cases are defined in MEF 113 *Trouble Ticketing Requirements and Use Cases* [MEF 113]. This standard consists of this document and complementary API definitions for Trouble Ticket Management and Trouble Ticket Notification.

This standard normatively incorporates the following files by reference as if they were part of this document, from the GitHub repository

https://github.com/MEF-GIT/MEF-LSO-Sonata-SDK

commit id: aaa03d484f98664a5a14f4f54f47b675d7efb3b8

- productApi/troubleTicket/troubleTicketManagement.api.yaml
- productApi/troubleTicket/troubleTicketNotification.api.yaml

https://github.com/MEF-GIT/MEF-LSO-Cantata-SDK

commit id: 83d6edd0c70386058a9af6e677c069b498671da7

- productApi/troubleTicket/troubleTicketManagement.api.yaml
- productApi/troubleTicket/troubleTicketNotification.api.yaml

# 2. Terminology and Abbreviations

This section defines the terms used in this document. In many cases, the normative definitions of terms are found in other documents. In these cases, the third column is used to provide the reference that is controlling, in other Mplify or external documents.

In addition, terms defined in the standards referenced below are included in this document by reference and are not repeated in the table below:

- MEF 55.1
- MEF 55.1.1
- MEF 113
- Mplify 150

Term	Description	Reference
Application Program Interface (API)	In the context of LSO, API describes one of the Management Interface Reference Points based on the requirements specified in an Interface Profile, along with a data model, the protocol that defines operations on the data and the encoding format used to encode data according to the data model. In this document, API is used synonymously with REST API.	[MEF 55.1]
Buyer	In the context of this document, denotes the organization or individual acting as the customer in a transaction over a Cantata (Customer <-> Service Provider) or Sonata (Service Provider <-> Partner) Interface.	This document; adapted from [MEF 55.1.1]
Incident	An entry within a Seller's tracking system created by the context of this document, denotes a situation that is not part of normal operationSeller, which contains information about a Situation in the Seller's network that has a possible negative impact on the operability of the network ona Product for one or more Buyers	[MEF 113]
Issue	In the context of this document, denotes a problem with a Product as experienced by the Buyer that is not part of normal operation.	
Notification	A message sent from the Seller to the Buyer to inform about an event that has occurred in regard to a specific instance of a Ticket or an Incident	[MEF 113]
Requesting Entity	The business organization that is acting on behalf of one or more Buyers. In the most common case, the Requesting Entity represents only one Buyer and these terms are then synonymous.	[Mplify 150]
Responding Entity	The business organization that is acting on behalf of one or more Sellers. In the most common case, the Responding Entity represents only one Seller and these terms are then synonymous.	[Mplify 150]
REST API	Representational State Transfer. REST provides a set of architectural constraints that, when applied as a whole, emphasizes scalability of component interactions, generality of interfaces, independent deployment of components, and	[REST]

intermediary components to reduce interaction latency, enfo	rce
security, and encapsulate legacy systems.	

Seller	In the context of this document, denotes the organization acting as the supplier in a transaction over a Cantata (Customer <-> Service Provider) or Sonata (Service Provider <-> Partner) Interface.	This document; adapted from [MEF 55.1.1]
Situation	In the context of this document, denotes a problem that is not part of normal operation in the Seller's network	[MEF 113]
Ticket	An entry within a Seller's tracking system created by the Buyer (or a third party on behalf of the Buyer), which contains information about an Issue impacting normal operation of a Product, along with support interventions made by technical support staff, or third parties	[MEF 113]
Trouble Ticketing	In the context of this document, denotes the management of both Tickets and Incidents	
Work Order	In the context of this document, denotes a set of tasks to be scheduled and performed under the responsibility of a Technician at a given location	[MEF 113]

Table 2. Terminology

Term	Description	Reference
API	Application Program Interface	[MEF 55.1]
REST API	Representational State Transfer API	[REST]

**Table 3. Abbreviations** 

# 3. Compliance Levels

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119 [RFC2119], RFC 8174 [RFC8174]) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here. All key words must be in bold text.

Items that are **REQUIRED** (contain the words **MUST** or **MUST NOT**) are labeled as **[Rx]** for required. Items that are **RECOMMENDED** (contain the words **SHOULD** or **SHOULD NOT**) are labeled as **[Dx]** for desirable. Items that are **OPTIONAL** (contain the words MAY or OPTIONAL) are labeled as **[Ox]** for optional.

A paragraph preceded by [CRa]< specifies a conditional mandatory requirement that MUST be followed if the condition(s) following the "<" have been met. For example, "[CR1] <[D38]" indicates that Conditional Mandatory Requirement 1 must be followed if Desirable Requirement 38 has been met. A paragraph preceded by [CDb]< specifies a Conditional Desirable Requirement that SHOULD be followed if the condition(s) following the "<" have been met. A paragraph preceded by \*\*[COc]<\*\*specifies a Conditional Optional Requirement that MAY be followed if the condition(s) following the "<" have been met.

#### 4. Introduction

The Trouble Ticket API allows the Buyer to create, retrieve, and update Trouble Tickets as well as receive notifications and Trouble Tickets' updates. This allows managing issues and situations that are not part of normal operations of the Product provided by the Seller.

This standard specification document describes the Application Programming Interface (API) for Trouble Ticketing functionality of the LSO Cantata Interface Reference Point (IRP) and LSO Sonata IRP as defined in the *MEF 55.1 Lifecycle Service Orchestration (LSO): Reference Architecture and Framework* [MEF 55.1]. The LSO Reference Architecture is shown in Figure 1 with both IRPs highlighted.




Figure 1. The LSO Reference Architecture

Cantata and Sonata IRPs define pre-ordering and ordering functionalities that allow an automated exchange of information between business applications of the Buyer (Customer or Service Provider) and Seller (Service Provider or Partner) Domains. Those are:

- Product Catalog
- Address Validation
- Site Retrieval
- Product Offering Qualification
- Product Quote
- Product Inventory
- Product Ordering
- Trouble Ticketing
- Billing

The business requirements and use cases for Trouble Ticketing are defined in MEF 113 *Trouble Ticketing Requirements and Use Cases* [MEF 113]. MEF 113 defines use cases that cover Trouble Ticket, Incident, Appointment, and WorkOrder. The scope of this API and Developer Guide covers the Trouble Ticket and Incident related use cases (based on the [TMF 621] Trouble Ticket API). The Appointment and Work order use cases are covered by *LSO Cantata and LSO Sonata Appointment API Developer Guide* [MEF 137].

This document is structured as follows:

- Chapter 4 provides an introduction to Trouble Ticketing and its description in a broader context of Cantata and Sonata and their corresponding SDKs.
- Chapter 5 gives an overview of endpoints, resource model and design patterns.
- Use cases and flows are presented in Chapter 6.
- And finally, Chapter 7 complements previous sections with a detailed API description.

#### 4.1. Conventions in the Document

- Code samples are formatted using code blocks. When notation << some text >> is used in the payload sample it indicates that a comment is provided instead of an example value and it might not comply with the OpenAPI definition.
- Model definitions are formatted as in-line code (e.g. TroubleTicket).
- In UML diagrams the default cardinality of associations is 0..1. Other cardinality markers are compliant with the UML standard.
- In the API details tables and UML diagrams required attributes are marked with a \* next to their names.
- In UML sequence diagrams {{variable}} notation is used to indicate a variable to be substituted with a correct value.

#### 4.2. Relation to Other Documents

This API implements the Trouble Ticket related requirements and use cases that are defined in MEF 113 [MEF 113]. The API definition builds on *TMF621 Trouble Ticket API REST Specification R19.0.1* [TMF621].

#### 4.3. Approach

As presented in Figure 2. both Cantata and Sonata API frameworks consist of three structural components:

- Generic API framework
- Product-independent information (Function-specific information and Function-specific operations)
- Product-specific information (Mplify product specification data model)




Figure 2. Cantata and Sonata API framework

The essential concept behind the framework is to decouple the common structure, information and operations from the specific product information content.

Firstly, the Generic API Framework defines a set of design rules and patterns that are applied across all Cantata or Sonata APIs.

Secondly, the product-independent information of the framework focuses on a model of a particular Cantata or Sonata functionality and is agnostic to any of the product specifications. Finally, the product-specific information part of the framework focuses on Mplify product specifications that define business-relevant attributes and requirements for trading Mplify subscriber and Mplify operator services.

The Trouble Ticket is product-agnostic in its nature and is not intended to carry any product-specific payloads. It only references products from the inventory by id. It operates using the Generic API Framework and the Function-specific Information and Operations.

#### 4.4. High-Level Flow

Trouble Ticket is part of a broader Cantata and Sonata End-to-End flow. Figure 3. below shows a high-level diagram to get a good understanding of the whole process and Trouble Ticket's position within it.




Figure 3. Cantata and Sonata End-to-End Function Flow

- Address Validation:
  - Allows the Buyer to retrieve address information from the Seller, including exact formats, for addresses known to the Seller.
- Site Retrieval:
  - Allows the Buyer to retrieve Geographic Site information including exact formats for Geographic Sites known to the Seller.
- Product Offering Qualification (POQ):
  - Allows the Buyer to check whether the Seller can deliver a product or set of products from among their product offerings at the geographic address or a Geographic Site specified by the Buyer; or modify a previously purchased product.
- Quote:
  - Allows the Buyer to submit a request to find out how much the installation of an instance of a Product Offering, an update to an existing Product, or a disconnect of an existing Product will cost.
- Product Order:
  - Allows the Buyer to request the Seller to initiate and complete the fulfillment process of an installation of a Product Offering, an update to an existing Product, or a

disconnect of an existing Product at the address defined by the Buyer.

- Product Inventory:
  - Allows the Buyer to retrieve the information about the existing Product instances from Seller's Product Inventory.
- Trouble Ticketing:
  - Allows the Buyer to create, retrieve, and update Trouble Tickets as well as receive notifications about Incidents' and Trouble Tickets' updates. This allows managing issues and situations for a Product provided by the Seller.


# 5. API Description

This section presents the API structure and design patterns. It starts with the high-level use cases diagram. Then it describes the REST endpoints with use case mapping. Next, it gives an overview of the API resource model.

#### 5.1. High-level use cases

Figure 4 presents a high-level use case diagram as specified in MEF 113 [MEF 113] in section 7. This picture aims to help understand the endpoint mapping. Use cases are described extensively in chapter 6.

**Note:** As stated earlier, the scope of this API does not cover the Appointment and WorkOrder related use cases. The diagram below lists all use cases defined in MEF 113 to highlight which of them are covered. For easier requirements matching this document keeps the original MEF 113 numbering. The remaining use cases are covered by *LSO Cantata and LSO Sonata Appointment API Developer Guide* [MEF 137].






Figure 4. Use cases

### 5.2. API Endpoint and Operation Description

#### 5.2.1. Seller side API Endpoints

#### **Base URL for Cantata:**

```
https://{{serverBase}}:{{port}}
{{?/seller_prefix}}/mefApi/cantata/troubleTicket/v5/
```

#### **Base URL for Sonata:**

```
https://{{serverBase}}:{{port}}
{{?/seller_prefix}}}/mefApi/sonata/troubleTicket/v5/
```

The following API endpoints are implemented by the Seller and allow the Buyer to create, retrieve, modify Trouble Tickets and register for Notifications. The endpoints and corresponding data model are defined in

productApi/troubleTicket/troubleTicketManagement.api.yaml.

API endpoint	Description	MEF 113 Use Case mapping
POST /troubleTicket	A request initiated by the Buyer to create a Ticket in the Seller's system to report an Issue experienced by the Buyer or their end user.	
GET /troubleTicket	The Buyer requests a list of Tickets from the Seller based on a set of specified filter criteria. The Seller returns a summarized list of Tickets.	UC 2: Retrieve Ticket List

API endpoint	Description	MEF 113 Use Case mapping
<pre>GET /troubleTicket/{{id}}</pre>	The Buyer requests detailed information about a single Ticket based on a Ticket Identifier.	UC 3: Retrieve Ticket by Ticket Identifier
PATCH /troubleTicket/{{id}}	A request by the Buyer to patch/partial up-date a Ticket created by the Buyer in the Seller's system.	UC 4: Patch Ticket by Ticket Identifier
POST /troubleTicket/{{id}}/cancel	A request by the Buyer to cancel a Ticket created by the Buyer in the Seller's system.	UC 5: Cancel Ticket by Ticket Identifier
POST /troubleTicket/{{id}}/close	A request from the Buyer confirming whether they agree that a Ticket created by the Buyer in the Seller's system can be closed, since the reported Issue is no longer observed. This request is the action taken by a Buyer after receiving an Event Notification from the Seller with Notification Event Type TroubleTicketResolvedEvent.	UC 6: Ticket Resolution Confirmation
POST /troubleTicket/{{id}}/reopen	A request from the Buyer rejecting that a Ticket created by the Buyer in the Seller's system can be closed, because the reported Issue is still observed. This request is the action taken by a Buyer after receiving a Event Notification from the Seller with Notification Event Type TroubleTicketResolvedEvent.	UC 6: Ticket Resolution Confirmation
POST /hub	The Buyer requests to subscribe to notifications.	UC 17: Register for Event Notifications
<pre>GET /hub/{{id}}</pre>	A request initiated by the Buyer to retrieve the details of the notification subscription.	UC 17: Register for Event Notifications
DELETE /hub/{{id}}	A request initiated by the Buyer to instruct the Seller to stop sending notifications.	UC 17: Register for Event Notifications

Table 4. Seller side mandatory API endpoints

[R1] The implementation MUST support API endpoints listed in Table 4. [MEF113 R1], [MEF113 R2]

API endpoint	Description	MEF 113 Use Case mapping
GET /incident	The Buyer requests a list of Incidents from the Seller based on a set of specified filter criteria. The Seller returns a summarized list of Incidents.	UC 15. Retrieve Incident List
<pre>GET /incident/{{id}}</pre>	The Buyer requests detailed information about a single Incident based on an Incident Identifier.	UC 16. Retrieve Incident by Incident Identifier

#### **Table 5. Seller side optional API endpoints**

[O1] The implementation MAY support API endpoints listed in Table 5. [MEF113 O1]

[CR1]<([O1]) If any of endpoints listed in Table 5 is supported, then all endpoints listed in Table 5 MUST be supported. [MEF113 [CR1]<[O1]]

#### 5.2.2. Buyer side API Endpoints

#### **Base URL for Cantata:**

```
https://{{serverBase}}:{{port}}
{{?/buyer_prefix}}/mefApi/cantata/troubleTicketNotification/v5/
```

#### **Base URL for Sonata:**

```
https://{{serverBase}}:{{port}}
{{?/buyer_prefix}}/mefApi/sonata/troubleTicketNotification/v5/
```

The following API Endpoints are used by the Seller to post notifications to registered listeners. The endpoints and corresponding data model are defined in

productApi/troubleTicket/troubleTicketNotification.api.yaml

API Endpoint	Description	MEF 113 Use Case Mapping
POST /listener/troubleTicketAttributeValueChangeEvent	A request initiated by the Seller to notify the Buyer on TroubleTicket attribute value change.	UC 18: Send Event Notification
POST /listener/troubleTicketStatusChangeEvent	A request initiated by the Seller to notify the Buyer on TroubleTicket.status change.	Send Event

API Endpoint	Description	MEF 113 Use Case Mapping
POST /listener/troubleTicketResolvedEvent	A request initiated by the Seller to notify the Buyer on TroubleTicket reaching the resolved status.	UC 18: Send Event Notification
POST /listener/troubleTicketInformationRequiredEvent	A request initiated by the Seller to notify the Buyer that and additional information is required for further Ticket processing	UC 18: Send Event Notification

Table 6. Buyer side mandatory API endpoints

[R2] The implementation MUST support API endpoints listed in Table 6. [MEF113 R2]

API Endpoint	Description	MEF 113 Use Case Mapping
POST /listener/incidentCreateEvent	A request initiated by the Seller to notify the Buyer on Incident creation	UC 18: Send Event Notification
POST /listener/incidentAttributeValueChangeEvent	A request initiated by the Seller to notify the Buyer on Incident attribute value change.	UC 18: Send Event Notification
POST /listener/incidentStatusChangeEvent	A request initiated by the Seller to notify the Buyer on Incident.status change.	UC 18: Send Event Notification

#### Table 7. Buyer side optional API endpoints

[O2] The implementation MAY support API endpoints listed in Table 7. [MEF113 O2]

[CR2]<([O1]]) If any of endpoints listed in Table 5 is supported, then the Seller MUST support all endpoints listed in Table 7. [MEF113 [CR2]<[O2]]

# 5.3. Specifying the Buyer ID and the Seller ID

A business entity willing to represent multiple Buyers or multiple Sellers must follow requirements of [Mplify 150] chapter 8.8, which states:

For requests of all types, there is a business entity that is initiating an Operation (called a Requesting Entity) and a business entity that is responding to this request (called the Responding Entity). In the simplest case, the Requesting Entity is the Buyer, and the Responding Entity is the Seller. However, in some cases, the Requesting Entity may

represent more than one Buyer and similarly, the Responding Entity may represent more than one Seller.




Figure 5. Buyer ID and Seller ID Examples

As shown in Figure 5, if a Requesting Entity representing a single Buyer is doing business with a Responding Entity representing a single Seller, Buyer and Seller IDs are not required to be passed between the two entities. If a Requesting Entity representing more than one Buyer is doing business with a Responding Entity representing a single Seller, the Buyer ID is required to be passed between the two entities. If a Requesting Entity representing a single Buyer is doing business with a Responding entity representing multiple Sellers, the Seller ID is required to be passed between the two entities. If a Requesting Entity representing multiple Buyers is doing business with a Responding Entity representing multiple Sellers, both the Buyer ID and the Seller ID are required to be passed between the entities.

While it is outside the scope of this specification, it is assumed that the Requesting Entity and the Responding Entity are aware of each other and can authenticate requests initiated by the other party. It is further assumed that the Requesting Entity knows:

- the list of Buyers the Requesting Entity represents when interacting with this Responding Entity; and
- the list of Sellers that this Responding Entity represents to this Requesting Entity.

It is also assumed that the Responding Entity knows:

- the list of Sellers that this Responding Entity represents to this Requesting Entity and
- the list of Buyers the Requesting Entity represents when interacting with this Responding Entity.

In the API the buyerId and sellerId are represented as optional query parameters in each operation defined.

[R3] If the Requesting Entity has the authority to represent more than one Buyer the request MUST include buyerId that identifies the Buyer being represented. [Mplify150 R62]

[R4] If the Responding Entity represents more than one Seller to this Buyer the request MUST include sellerId that identifies the Seller with whom this request is associated. [Mplify150 R63]

#### 5.4. Model Structural Validation

The structure of the HTTP payloads exchanged via Trouble Ticket API endpoints is defined using OpenAPI version 3.0.

[R5] Implementations MUST use payloads that conform to these definitions.

[R6] The Buyer and the Seller MUST NOT use any operation, entity or attribute that is not explicitly defined or allowed by this standard.

# 5.5. Security Considerations

There must be an authentication mechanism whereby a Seller can be assured who a Buyer is and vice-versa. There must also be authorization mechanisms in place to control what a particular Buyer or Seller is allowed to do and what information may be obtained. However, the definition of the exact security mechanism and configuration is outside the scope of this document. Security considerations are standardized by *LSO API Security Profile* [MEF 128.1].

# 6. API Interactions and Flows

This section provides a detailed insight into the API functionality, use cases, and flows. It starts with Table 8 presenting a list and short description of all business use cases then presents the variants of end-to-end interaction flows, and in the following subchapters describes the API usage flow and examples for each of the use cases.

Table 8 lists the use cases supported by Trouble Ticket API (use case numbers as in MEF 113 for mapping):

Use Case #	Use Case Name	Use Case Description
1	Create Ticket	A request initiated by the Buyer to create a Ticket in the Seller's system to report an Issue experienced by the Buyer or their enduser.
2	Retrieve Ticket List	The Buyer requests a list of Tickets from the Seller based on a set of specified filter criteria. The Seller returns a summarized list of Tickets.
3	Retrieve Ticket by Ticket Identifier	The Buyer requests detailed information about a single Ticket based on a Ticket Identifier.
4	Patch Ticket by Ticket Identifier	A request by the Buyer to patch/partial update a Ticket based on a Ticket Identifier.
5	Cancel Ticket by Ticket Identifier	A request by the Buyer to cancel a Ticket based on a Ticket Identifier.
6	Ticket Resolution Confirmation	A reply from the Buyer confirming whether they agree that a Ticket can be closed, since the reported Issue is no longer observed. This reply is the action taken by a Buyer after receiving an Event Notification from the Seller with Event Notification Type TICKET_RESOLVED.
15	Retrieve Incident List	The Buyer requests a list of Incidents from the Seller based on a set of specified filter criteria. The Seller returns a summarized list of Incidents.
16	Retrieve Incident by Incident Identifier	The Buyer requests detailed information about a single Incident based on an Incident Identifier.
17	Register for Event Notifications	The Buyer requests to subscribe to Ticket and Incident Notifications.
18	Send Event Notification	Send Event Notification The Seller sends a notification regarding a Ticket or Incident to the Buyer

#### Table 8. Use cases description

MEF 113 defines use cases related to three domains:

- Trouble Ticket
- WorkOrder
- Appointment

Figure 6 presents an example of an end-to-end flow that shows dependencies between all the domains:




Figure 6. End-to-End API flow with Workorder and Appointment

- (1) The Buyer experiences the issue in the network and creates the Trouble Ticket.
- (2) The Seller creates the Trouble Ticket and sets the status: acknowledged.
- The Seller decides that a WorkOrder with Appointment is needed to resolve the issue.
- The Seller creates a Workorder in state open (4) and sends a workOrderCreateEvent (3).
- (7-8) The Buyer requests detailed information about the WorkOrder.

- (9) The Buyer proposes time slots for scheduling an Appointment, if the WorkOrder requires the Appointment (the parameter set to appointmentRequired=true)
- (10) The Seller responds with the list of available time slots.
- (11) The Buyer schedules an Appointment with agreed time slot.
- (12) The Buyer sets the Appointment status to confirmed.
- (14-15) Appointment creation causes the WorkOrder state change to planned
- (17-18) WorkOrder state change to planned causes the Trouble Ticket status change back to in\_progress.

The detailed business requirements of each of the use cases are described in sections 7 and 8 of MEF 113 [MEF 113].

#### 6.1. Use case 1: Create Ticket

This is the initial step for Trouble Ticket processing.

#### 6.1.1. Interaction flow

The flow of this use case is very simple and is described in Figure 7.




Figure 7. Use Case 1 - Trouble Ticket create request flow

The Buyer experiences an Issue with a Product (Identified by Product.id) and may decide to check if there is any Incident related to the affected Product. If yes, the Buyer may decide to link it with the new Ticket. The Buyer sends a request with a TroubleTicket\_Create type in the body. The Seller performs request validation, assigns an id, and returns TroubleTicket type in the response body, with a status set to acknowledged. From this point, the Trouble Ticket is ready for further processing. The Buyer must track the progress of the process by subscribing for notifications (see chapter 6.9). The flow example with the use of Notifications is presented in Figure 8





Figure 8. Trouble Ticket progress tracking - Notifications

**Note**: The context of notifications is not a part of the considered use case itself. It is presented to show the big picture of end-to-end flow. This applies also to all further use case flow diagrams with notifications.

#### 6.1.2. Create Trouble Ticket - Request

Figure 9 presents the data model of the Trouble Ticket. The model of the request message (TroubleTicket\_Create) is a subset of the TroubleTicket model and contains only attributes that can (or must) be set by the Buyer. The Seller then enriches the entity in the response with additional information. For visibility of these shared attributes, the TroubleTicket\_Common has been introduced. Though, it is not to be used directly in the payload.

The full list of attributes is available in Section 7 and in the API specification which is an integral part of this standard.



**Figure 9. Create Trouble Ticket Model** 

The snippet below presents an example of the Create Trouble Ticket Request:

#### **TroubleTicket Create**

```
"description": "Connection is lost",
"externalId": "BuyerTicket-123",
"issueStartDate": "2021-06-02T14:21:11.090Z",
"priority": "critical",
"severity": "extensive",
"ticketType": "assistance",
"attachment": [
    "author": "John Example",
    "creationDate": "2021-06-02T14:21:11.090Z",
"description": "Print screen from the assurance system",
    "mimeType": "image/jpeg",
    "name": "Alarm",
    "url": "https://buyer.mef.com/documents/00000000-0000-1111-2222-000000001111",
    "size": {
      "amount": 5.3,
      "units": "MBYTES"
    "source": "buyer"
 }
"note": [
    "id": "note-1",
    "author": "John Example",
    "date": "2021-06-02T14:25:11.090Z",
    "source": "buyer",
    "text": "Couldn't reach the support on phone."
"relatedEntity": [ <<A relation to a Product that this Ticket refers to>>
    "id": "01494079-6c79-4a25-83f7-48284196d44d",
    "role": "Issue Source",
    "@referredType": "Product"
"relatedContactInformation": [
    "emailAddress": "john.example@buyer.mef.com",
    "name": "John Example",
    "number": "+12-345-678-90",
    "organization": "Buyer Example Co.",
```

```
"role": "reporterContact"
     }
]
```

[R7] The Buyer's Create request MUST include the following attributes: [MEF113 R31]

- description
- observedImpact
- priority
- relatedContactInformation item with a role set to reporterContact
- relatedEntity (pointer to related Product instance)
- severity
- ticketType

*Note:* During the onboarding the Seller may require to provide an additional contact role.

**Note:** It is up to the Seller's discretion on how to react in case the Buyer provides a contact role that is not listed by this standard or agreed upon during the onboarding. Preferably the Seller should return an error with a message stating which roles are accepted. It may also be ignored

**Note:** The relatedEntity attribute is used to provide the related product id. It is done by setting the additional @referredType to Product. This follows the TMF pattern which enables compliance and allows referring also other potential types in Mplify (e.g. Service). In this version, the only type that is mentioned in the implemented requirements document is the Product and to ease the request RelatedEntity.@ReferredType and the relatedEntityType in the filter criteria has a default value: Product.

#### 6.1.3. Create Trouble Ticket - Response

The Seller responds with a TroubleTicket type, which adds some attributes to the TroubleTicket\_Create that was used in the Buyer's request.

**Note**: The term "Seller Response Code" used in the Business Requirements maps to HTTP response code, where 2xx indicates *Success* and 4xx or 5xx indicate *Failure*.

The following snippet presents the Seller's response. It has the same structure as in the retrieve by identifier operation.

```
"id": "00000000-4444-5555-6666-000000000987",
"href": "{{baseUrl}}/troubleTicket/00000000-4444-5555-6666-000000000987",
"creationDate": "2021-06-02T20:56:08.559Z",
"expectedResolutionDate": "2021-06-03T20:56:08.559Z",
"lastUpdate": "2021-06-02T20:56:08.559Z",
"sellerPriority": "critical",
"sellerSeverity": "extensive",
"status": "acknowledged",
"description": "Connection is lost", << as provided by the Buyer >>
"externalId": "BuyerTicket-123", << as provided by the Buyer >>
"issueStartDate": "2021-06-02T14:21:11.090Z", << as provided by the Buyer >>
"priority": "critical", << as provided by the Buyer >>
"severity": "extensive", << as provided by the Buyer >>
"ticketType": "assistance", << as provided by the Buyer >>
"attachment": [
 { << as provided by the Buyer >>
    "author": "John Example",
    "creationDate": "2021-06-02T14:21:11.090Z",
    "description": "Print screen from the assurance system",
```

```
"mimeType": "image/jpeg";
      "name": "Alarm",
      "url": "https://buyer.mef.com/documents/00000000-0000-1111-2222-000000001111",
      "size": {
        "amount": 5.3.
       "units": "MBYTES"
     "source": "buyer'
   }
  ],
  "note": [
    {<< as provided by the Buyer >>
      "id": "note-1",
      "author": "John Example",
      "date": "2021-06-02T14:25:11.090Z",
     "source": "buyer",
     "text": "Couldn't reach the support on phone."
   }
  "relatedEntity": [
    {<< as provided by the Buyer >>
      "id": "01494079-6c79-4a25-83f7-48284196d44d",
      "role": "Issue Source",
     "@referredType": "Product"
   }
  1.
  "relatedContactInformation": [
    {<< as provided by the Buyer >>
      "emailAddress": "john.example@buyer.mef.com",
      "name": "John Example",
      "number": "+12-345-678-90"
     "organization": "Buyer Example Co.",
     "role": "reporterContact"
    {<< a new item appended by the Seller >>
      "emailAddress": "Seller.TicketContact@seller.mef.com",
      "name": "Seller Ticket Contact",
      "number": "+98-765-432-10",
      "organization": "Seller Example Co.",
     "role": "sellerTicketContact"
  "relatedIssue": [
      "@referredType": "TroubleTicket",
     "id": "00000000-1234-4321-1111-00000000888",
      "creationDate": "2021-06-02T20:56:08.559Z",
     "description": "The issue is caused by.",
     "relationshipType": "caused by",
      "source": "seller"
   }
  1,
  "statusChange": [
      "changeDate": "2021-06-02T20:56:08.560Z",
      "status": "acknowledged"
   }
 1
}
```

The response to the create request does not contain all possible attributes, for example, the resolutionDate is valid only in the future lifecycle of the Trouble Ticket.

[R8] The Seller's response MUST include all and unchanged attributes' values as provided in the request. [MEF113 R33]

These attributes are indicated above with an appropriate comment: << as provided by the Buyer >>.

[R9] The Seller MUST specify the following attributes in a response: [MEF113 R35]

• creationDate

- id
- relatedContactInformation item with a role set to sellerTicketContact
- sellerSeverity
- sellerPriority
- status
- statusChange

[R10] The status of the Ticket in the Seller's response MUST be acknowledged. [MEF113 R34]

[R11] The statusChange MUST include a full object's state history including the initial state.

#### 6.1.4. Trouble Ticket - Lifecycle

Figure 10 presents the Trouble Ticket state machine:




Figure 10. Trouble Ticket State Machine

After receiving the request, the Seller performs a validation of the message. If any problem is found an Error response is provided. If the validation passes a response is provided with TroubleTicket in acknowledged status. Then the Seller starts working on resolving the issue and moves the Trouble Ticket to inProgress state. From there, additional information might be required to proceed and the Trouble Ticket moves to pending until one is provided. The Trouble Ticket is set as resolved when the Seller claims the issue is fixed. From there the Buyer can either reopen or close the Ticket (use cases described in following sections). The Buyer may also request for a Trouble Ticket to be cancelled, while in acknowledged, pending, or inProgress state.

Table 9 presents the mapping between the API status names (aligned with TMF) and the MEF 113 naming, together with statuses' description.

status MEF 113 name Description

status	MEF 113 name	Description
acknowledged	ACKNOWLEDGED	A request to create a Ticket was received and accepted by the Seller. The Ticket create request has been validated and a Ticket has been created by the Seller and allocated a unique id.
inProgress	IN_PROGRESS	The Ticket is in the process of being handled and investigated for resolution by the Seller.
resolved	RESOLVED	The Buyer's Issue described in the Ticket was resolved by the Seller. The Seller assumes that normal operation is reestablished for the Buyer's product and i snow waiting for the Buyer to confirm that the Issue they reported is no longer observed.
closed	CLOSED	The Buyer has confirmed that the Issue they reported is no longer observed, or the pre-defined time frame (agreed upon between Buyer and Seller) for confirming that the Issue has been resolved has passed without a response by the Buyer. This is a terminal state.
reopened	REOPENED	The Buyer has verified that the Issue described in the Ticket is still observed and has not been resolved satisfactorily. The Buyer rejects the Seller's request to close the Ticket. The Ticket has been reopened and is waiting for further actions from the Seller.
pending	PENDING	The Seller is waiting on the Buyer to provide additional information for the Ticket, or the Buyer to schedule an Appointment for the WorkOrder (linked to the Ticket) in order to continue processing the Ticket. This may result in the clock being stopped for the service level agreement until the Buyer has responded to the request.

status	MEF 113 name	Description
assessingCancellation	ASSESSING_ CANCELLATION	A request has been made by the Buyer to cancel the Ticket and is being assessed by the Seller to determine whether to just close the Ticket, or continue to resolve the Issue to prevent similar Create Ticket requests from other Buyers. If the Seller chooses to resolve the Issue, the Seller might create an Incident or an internal Ticket for the Issue, but that is outside the scope of this document. After the Seller has completed the assessment, the Seller updates the Ticket State to cancelled.
cancelled	CANCELLED	The Ticket has been successfully cancelled by the Buy-er. The Buyer will receive no further Event Notifications for the Ticket. This is a terminal state.

#### **Table 9. Trouble Ticket statuses**

[R12] The Seller MUST support all Trouble Ticket statuses and their associated transitions as described in Figure 10 and Table 9. [MEF113 R155]

[R13] If the Trouble Ticket was in pending status and an Appointment is created and the related WorkOrder moves to planned state, the Seller MUST update the Trouble Ticket status to inprogress. [MEF113 R91]

[R14] The Buyer MUST set the respective source=buyer attribute when adding any item to one of the following list: note, attachment, or relatedIssue. [MEF113 R8], [MEF113 R14], [MEF113 R23]

[R15] The Buyer MUST NOT set the respective source=seller attribute when adding any item to one of the following list: note, attachment, or relatedIssue. [MEF113 R9], [MEF113 R15], [MEF113 R24]

[R16] The Seller MUST set the source=seller when adding any item to one of the following list: note, attachment, or relatedIssue. [MEF113 R6], [MEF113 R12], [MEF113 R21]

[R17] The Seller MUST NOT set the source=buyer when adding any item to one of the following list: note, attachment, or relatedIssue. [MEF113 R7], [MEF113 R13], [MEF113 R22]

[R18] Any item in a note or attachment list MUST NOT be modified or deleted once added. [MEF113 R10], [MEF113 R16], [MEF113 R52], [MEF113 R56]

[O3] The Seller MAY append an item to note, attachment, or relatedIssue if required. [MEF113! O8], [MEF113! O9], [MEF113! O11]

[O4] The Seller MAY add, modify, or delete an item in relatedContactInformation with role=sellerTechnicalContact if the Ticket State is in acknowledged, inProgress, reopened, pending or assessingCancellation. [MEF113 O10]

[O5] The Seller MAY add or modify an item in workOrder list. [MEF113 O11]

[R19] The Seller MUST NOT modify or delete any items provided by the Buyer in following lists: relatedContactInformation, note, attachment, relatedEntity, or relatedIssue. [MEF113 R7], [MEF113 R37].

[R20] The Seller MUST add a note when any of the following Trouble Ticket attributes are updated: [MEF113 R36]

- expectedResolutionDate
- relatedIssue

#### 6.2. Use Case 2: Retrieve Ticket List

[O6] The Buyer MAY retrieve a list of Trouble Tickets by using a GET /troubleTicket operation with desired filtering criteria. The attributes that are available to be used are: [MEF113 O12]

- externalId
- priority
- sellerPriority
- severity
- sellerSeverity
- ticketType
- status
- observedImpact
- relatedEntityId
- relatedEntityType
- creationDate.gt
- creationDate.lt
- expectedResolutionDate.gt
- expectedResolutionDate.lt
- resolutionDate.gt
- resolutionDate.lt

The Buyer may also ask for pagination of the response when the number of results is too big. The following query attributes related to pagination can be provided:

- limit number of expected list items
- offset offset of the first element in the result list.

https://serverRoot/mefApi/sonata/troubleTicket/v2/troubleTicket?status=inProgress&priority=critical&limit=10&offset=0

The example above shows a Buyer's request to get all Trouble Tickets that are in the inProgress status and with critical priority. Additionally, the Buyer asks only for a first (offset=0) pack of 10 results (limit=10) to be returned. The correct response (HTTP code 200) in the response body contains a list of TroubleTicket\_Find objects matching the criteria. To get more details (e.g. the item level information), the Buyer has to query a specific TroubleTicket by id.

The Seller returns a list of elements that comply with the requested limit. If the requested limit is higher than the supported list size then the smaller list of results is returned. In that case, the size of the result is returned in the header attribute X-Result-Count. The Seller can indicate that there are additional results available using:

- X-Total-Count header attribute with the total number of available results
- X-Pagination-Throttled header set to true

[D5] The Seller SHOULD support the pagination mechanism.

[CR3]<[D5] Seller MUST use either X-Total-Count or X-Pagination-Throttled to indicate that the page was truncated and additional results are available.

[R21] The Seller MUST put the following attributes (if set) into the TroubleTicket\_Find object in the response: [MEF113 R39]:

- id
- externalId
- relatedEntity
- observedImpact
- priority
- sellerPriority
- severity
- sellerSeverity
- ticketType
- status
- creationDate
- expectedResolutionDate
- resolutionDate

[R22] In case no items matching the criteria are found, the Seller MUST return a valid response with an empty list.

[R23] In case of too many matching items are found (the definition of 'too many' is up to Seller's discretion), the Seller MUST return an Error422 with code=tooManyRecords.




Figure 11. Use Case 2: Retrieve Ticket List - Model

#### 6.3. Use Case 3: Retrieve Ticket by Ticket Identifier

The Buyer can get detailed information about the Trouble Ticket from the Seller by using a GET /troubleTicket/{{id}} operation.

[R24] In case id does not allow to find a TroubleTicket instance in Seller's system, an error response Error404 MUST be returned. [MEF113 R42]

[R25] The Seller MUST put the following attributes into the TroubleTicket object in the response: [MEF113 R44]

- id
- relatedEntity
- description
- observedImpact
- priority
- sellerPriority
- severity
- sellerSeverity
- ticketType
- status
- creationDate
- relatedContactInformation

[R26] The Seller MUST provide all remaining optional attributes if they were previously set by the Buyer or the Seller. [MEF113 R45]

[R27] The Seller's response to a Retrieve Ticket by Ticket Identifier request MUST include the resolutionDate and a note added by the Seller describing how the Ticket was resolved if the status is closed or resolved. [MEF113 R46]

#### 6.4. Use Case 4: Patch Ticket by Ticket Identifier

The update operation is realized with the use of the REST PATCH operation. For that purpose, a specialized type TroubleTicket\_Update is provided. It consists of attributes limited to a subset that includes only the Buyer updateable attributes.

The PATCH usage recommendation follows TMF 621 json/merge (https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7386).

Figure 12 presents the model used in the PATCH request. The Seller responds with a TroubleTicket type.




Figure 12. Patch request Model

[R28] The Buyer MUST include at least one of the following attributes of TroubleTicket\_Update in the PATCH request: [MEF113 R48]

- externalId
- priority
- severity
- issueStartDate
- observedImpact
- attachment append only
- note append only
- relatedContactInformation append or modify the Buyer settable contacts
- relatedIssue

[R29] The Buyer MUST add a note to a Trouble Ticket when any of the following attributes are patched: [MEF113 R49]

- priority
- severity
- issueStartDate
- relatedIssue

[R30] The Buyer MUST NOT modify or delete any items provided by the Seller in following lists: note, attachment, relatedContactInformation, or relatedIssue. [MEF113 R51], [MEF113 R52]

**Note:** The Buyer can add or update items in the above-mentioned lists by providing a full list of existing items, and appending them with new ones or updating values of existing ones (where possible).

*Note:* As stated before, items to the attachment and note lists may only be added.

[R31] In case id does not allow to find a TroubleTicket that is to be updated in Seller's system, an error response Error404 MUST be returned. [MEF113 R53]

**[R32]** The Seller **MUST** return an error (Error422) if attributes requested to be changed by the Buyer cannot be updated. [MEF113 R54]

[R33] The Seller MUST return an error (Error422) if the Ticket state is closed, assessingCancellation or cancelled. [MEF113 R55]

The example below shows a request to patch a TroubleTicket that was created in section 6.1.3. The first snippet provides the existing state of the TroubleTicket, showing only parts that are to be updated:

```
{
  "note": [
    {<< provided by the Buyer >>
      "id": "note-1",
      "author": "John Example",
     "date": "2021-06-02T14:25:11.090Z",
     "source": "buyer",
     "text": "Couldn't reach the support on phone."
  "relatedContactInformation": [
    {<< provided by the Buyer >>
       "emailAddress": "john.example@buyer.mef.com",
     "name": "John Example",
     "number": "+12-345-678-90",
      "organization": "Buyer Example Co.",
     "role": "reporterContact"
    {<< a new item appended by the Seller >>
      "emailAddress": "Seller.TicketContact@seller.mef.com",
      "name": "Seller Ticket Contact",
     "number": "+98-765-432-10",
     "organization": "Seller Example Co.",
     "role": "sellerTicketContact"
 ],
```

The request below aims to:

- add a new note (existing cannot be modified or deleted)
- change details of Buyer's reporterContact

```
{
  "note": [
    {<<pre><<pre>existing>>
      "id": "note-1",
      "author": "John Example",
     "date": "2021-06-02T14:25:11.090Z",
     "source": "buyer",
      "text": "Couldn't reach the support on phone."
    {<<added new note>>
      "id": "note-2",
      "author": "Kate Example",
      "date": "2021-06-02T19:25:11.090Z",
     "source": "buyer",
     "text": "Support reached after 5 hours"
    }
  "relatedContactInformation": [
    {<< update details of reporterContact >>
      "emailAddress": "Kate.example@buyer.mef.com",
      "name": "Kate Example",
     "number": "+12-345-678-91",
     "organization": "Buyer Example Co.",
      "role": "reporterContact"
    {<< provided by Seller - untouched >>
      "emailAddress": "Seller.TicketContact@seller.mef.com",
      "name": "Seller Ticket Contact",
      "number": "+98-765-432-10",
     "organization": "Seller Example Co.",
     "role": "sellerTicketContact"
 ]
}
```

[R34] The Seller MUST NOT delete item from the workOrder list. [MEF113 R57]

[R35] If the Trouble Ticket status was pending, the Seller MUST update it to inProgress. [MEF113 R60]

#### 6.5. Use case 5: Cancel Ticket by Ticket Identifier

The Buyer may request to cancel a Trouble Ticket by using POST /troubleTicket/{{id}}/cancel endpoint. This operation only requires providing the id in the path and has an empty 204 confirmation response.

The sequence diagram below presents this use case in detail.




Figure 13. Cancel Trouble Ticket Flow

The Seller verifies the request, then searches for a Trouble Ticket to be cancelled by given id. If found, the status is verified (acknowledged, inProgress or pending allowed). If everything is verified correctly, the Seller moves the ticket to the assessingCancellation status, sends a successful response to a cancellation request followed by troubleTicketStatusChangeEvent and starts assessing the cancellation process for the ticket. After successful assessment, the ticket moves to cancelled status and another troubleTicketStatusChangeEvent is sent.

[R36] In case of a successful validation of the cancel request, the Seller MUST move the ticket to assessingCancellation status. [MEF113 R64]

[R37] In case id does not allow to find a TroubleTicket that is to be cancelled, an error response Error404 MUST be returned. [MEF113 R62]

[R38] In case the TroubleTicket is in one of statuses: resolved, closed, reopened, assessingCancellation, or cancelled the Seller MUST return an error (Error422). [MEF113 R63]

#### 6.6 Use Case 6: Ticket Resolution Confirmation

As shown in Figure 7, the Seller after resolving the Issue moves the Trouble Ticket to a resolved state. The Seller sends the troubleTicketResolvedEvent - a dedicated notification type. This is the point where the Buyer verifies the resolution and chooses to either close or reopen the Trouble Ticket. The Buyer uses one of the dedicated actions:

- POST /troubleTicket/{{id}}/close
- POST /troubleTicket/{{id}}/reopen




Figure 14. Ticket Resolution Confirmation Flow

[R39] The Buyer MUST perform the reopen action if the Issue on which the Ticket was based has not been resolved in a satisfactory manner to the Buyer. [MEF113 R65]

**[R40]** The Buyer **MUST** perform the close action if the Issue on which the Ticket was based has been resolved in a satisfactory manner to the Buyer. [MEF113 R65]

**[R41]** If performing the reopen action, the Buyer MUST include a reason describing why the Buyer doesn't agree that the Trouble Ticket has been resolved in a satisfactory manner and is requesting the Trouble Ticket to be reopened. [MEF113 R66]

[R42] In case id does not allow to find a TroubleTicket that is to be reopened or closed, an error response Error404 MUST be returned. [MEF113 R67]

[R43] If Buyer performs the reopen action, the Seller MUST change the Ticket status to reopened. [MEF113 R69]

[R44] If Buyer performs the reopen action, the Seller MUST add the reason (provided by the Buyer) to the note list of the Ticket with note.source=buyer and note.author=closureRejection. [MEF113 R68]

[R45] If Buyer performs the close action, the Seller MUST change the Ticket status to closed. [MEF113 R70]

**Note:** The Seller will return an error if the Buyer responds to the troubleTicketResolvedEvent after the Ticket was closed due to the expiration of the preagreed timeframe/timeout for the Buyer to confirm that the Issue on which the Ticket was based has been resolved satisfactorily.

#### 6.7. Use Case 15: Retrieve Incident List

[O7] The Buyer MAY retrieve a list of Incidents by using a GET /incident operation with desired filtering criteria. The attributes that are available to be used are: [MEF113 O20]

- priority
- severity
- impact
- incidentType
- status
- relatedEntityId
- relatedEntityType
- creationDate.gt
- creationDate.lt
- situationStartDate.gt
- situationStartDate.lt
- expectedClosedDate.gt
- expectedClosedDate.lt
- closedDate.gt
- closedDate.lt

The example of making a request and using pagination is provided in section 6.2. Please refer to it as the rules also apply to this case.

[R46] The Seller MUST put the following attributes (if set) into the Incident\_Find object in the response: [MEF113 R126]:

- id
- relatedEntity
- description
- priority
- severity
- impact
- incidentType
- status
- creationDate
- situationStartDate
- expectedClosedDate
- closedDate

**[R47]** In case no items matching the criteria are found, the Seller **MUST** return a valid response with an empty list. [MEF113 R127]




Figure 15. Use Case 15: Retrieve Incident List - Model

#### 6.8. Use Case 16: Retrieve Incident by Incident Identifier

The Buyer can get detailed information about the Incident from the Seller by using a GET /incident/{{id}} operation.

[R48] In case id does not allow to find an Incident instance, an error response Error404 MUST be returned. [MEF113 R129]

[R49] The Seller MUST put the following attributes into the Incident object in the response: [MEF113 R131]

- id
- relatedEntity
- description
- priority
- severity
- impact
- incidentType
- status
- statusChange
- situationStartDate
- creationDate
- relatedContactInformation items with role equal to incidentContact

[R50] The statusChange MUST include a full object's state history including the initial state.

[R51] The Seller MUST provide all remaining optional attributes if they are set. [MEF113 R132]

[R52] The Seller's response to a Retrieve Incident by Incident Identifier request MUST include the closedDate if the status is closed. [MEF113 R133]

Table 10 presents the mapping between the API status names and the MEF 113 naming, together with their description.

status	MEF 113 name	Description
created	CREATED	A new Incident has been created and allocated a unique id.
inProgress	IN_PROGRESS	The Incident is in the process of being handled by the Seller.
closed	CLOSED	The Situation described in the Incident was closed by the Seller. This is a terminal state.

#### Table 10. Incident states

Figure 16 presents the Incident state machine:




Figure 16. Incident State Machine

[R53] The Seller MUST support all Incident statuses and their associated transitions as described in Figure 16 and Table 10. [MEF113 R167]




Figure 17 Use Case 16: Incident Model

```
"id": "00001111-4321-6666-7777-000000003333",
"href": \ "\{\{baseUrl\}\}/incident/00001111-4321-6666-7777-000000003333", \\
"attachment": [
   "author": "Luke Example",
   "creationDate": "2022-01-02T14:21:11.090Z",
    "description": "Print screen from the assurance system",
    "mimeType": "image/jpeg",
    "name": "Alarm",
    "url": "https://seller.mef.com/documents/00000000-5555-4444-3333-222211110000",
    "size": {
      "amount": 2.6,
     "units": "MBYTES"
    "source": "seller"
],
"creationDate": "2022-01-12T23:09:44.814Z",
"description": "Hardware failure",
"expectedClosedDate": "2022-01-13T23:09:44.814Z",
"impact": "down",
"incidentType": "repair",
"situationStartDate": "2022-01-12T23:09:44.814Z",
"priority": "critical",
"relatedContactInformation": [
    "emailAddress": "Incident.Contact@seller.mef.com",
    "name": "Incident Contact",
    "number": "+98-765-432-10",
    "organization": "Seller Example Co.",
    "role": "incidentContact"
"relatedEntity": [
    "id": "01494079-6c79-4a25-83f7-48284196d44d",
    "role": "Affected Product",
    "@referredType": "Product"
"relatedIssue": [
```

```
{
    "@referredType": "TroubleTicket",
    "creationDate": "2022-01-12T23:09:44.815Z",
    "description": "Reported failure is causing referred Trouble Ticket",
    "id": "00000000-4444-5555-6666-000000000987",
    "relationshipType": "causes",
    "source": "seller"
    }
],
"severity": "extensive",
"status": "created",
"statusChange": [
    {
        "changeDate": "2022-01-12T23:09:44.814Z",
        "status": "created"
    }
}
```

#### 6.9. Use case 17: Register for Event Notifications

[R54] The Seller MUST support Event Notifications. [MEF113 R134]

[R55] The Seller MUST support all of TroubleTicketEventType: [MEF113 R135]

- troubleTicketAttributeValueChangeEvent
- troubleTicketInformationRequiredEvent
- troubleTicketResolvedEvent
- troubleTicketStatusChangeEvent

[R56] The Buyer MUST support and register for all TroubleTicketEventType. [MEF113 R136]

To register for notifications the Buyer uses the registerListener operation from the API: POST /hub. The request model contains only 2 attributes:

- callback mandatory, to provide the callback address the events will be notified to,
- query optional, to provide the required types of event.

The usage of a combination of these attributes fulfills the [MEF113 R137], [MEF113 R138], [MEF113 R139] requirements.

By using a simple request:

```
{
   "callback": "https://buyer.mef.com/listenerEndpoint"
}
```

The Buyer subscribes for notification of all types of events. Those are:

- troubleTicketAttributeValueChangeEvent
- troubleTicketInformationRequiredEvent
- troubleTicketResolvedEvent
- troubleTicketStatusChangeEvent
- incidentCreateEvent
- incidentAttributeValueChangeEvent
- incidentStatusChangeEvent

If the Buyer wishes to receive only notification of a certain type, a query must be added:

```
{
    "callback": "https://buyer.mef.com/listenerEndpoint",
    "query": "eventType=troubleTicketResolvedEvent"
}
```

If the Buyer wishes to subscribe to 2 different types of events, there are 2 possible syntax variants [TMF630]:

```
eventType=troubleTicketResolvedEvent,troubleTicketStatusChangeEvent
```

or

```
eventType=troubleTicketResolvedEvent&eventType=troubleTicketStatusChangeEvent
```

The query formatting complies to RCF3986 RFC3986. According to it, every attribute defined in the Event model (from notification API) can be used in the query. However, this standard requires only eventType attribute to be supported.

[R57] eventType is the only attribute that the Seller MUST support in the query.

The Seller responds to the subscription request by adding the id of the subscription to the message that must be further used for unsubscribing.

```
{
  "id": "00000000-0000-0000-0000-000000000678",
  "callback": "https://buyer.mef.com/listenerEndpoint",
  "query": "eventType=troubleTicketResolvedEvent"
}
```

Example of a final address that the Notifications will be sent to (for Sonata, troubleTicketResolvedEvent):

• https://buyer.mef.com/listenerEndpoint/mefApi/sonata/troubleTicketNotification/v2/listener/troubleTicketResolvedEvent

#### 6.10. Use case 18: Send Event Notification

Notifications are used to asynchronously inform the Buyer about the respective objects and attributes changes. The Seller's synchronous response to a Trouble Ticket create requests are considered to act as a Create Notification so there is no explicit respective Create Notification type. The next notification must be sent when the state changes compared to the previously sent one.

[R58] The Seller MUST send Notifications of eventTypes to Buyers who have registered for them. [MEF113 R141]

[R59] The Seller MUST NOT send Notifications for eventTypes to Buyers who have not registered for them. [MEF113 R140]

The Figure 18 shows all entities involved in the Notification use cases.




Figure 18. Use Case 18. Notification Data Model

The following snippet presents an example of troubleTicketResolvedEvent

```
{
  "eventId": "event-001",
  "eventType": "troubleTicketResolvedEvent",
  "eventTime": "2021-06-03T15:56:08.559Z",
  "event": {
    "id": "00000000-4444-5555-6666-000000000987"
  }
}
```

**Note**: the body of the event carries only the source object's id. The Buyer needs to query it later by id to get details.

To stop receiving events, the Buyer has to use the unregisterListener operation from the DELETE /hub/{id} endpoint. The id is the identifier received from the Seller during the listener registration.

The table below presents the mapping between the API Notification types' names and the ones in MEF 113 together with event descriptions. The inconsistencies are caused by API naming convention and using the TMF event types as the base for this API.

API name	MEF 113 name	Description
troubleTicket- AttributeValueChangeEvent	TICKET_UPDATE	The Seller settable attributes for a Ticket were updated by the Seller. Note: Buyer initiated Ticket updates due to Patch operation will not trigger a troubleTicket-AttributeValueChangeEvent
<pre>troubleTicket- StatusChangeEvent</pre>	TICKET_STATE_CHANGE	A Ticket status was changed by the Seller.

API name	MEF 113 name	Description
troubleTicket- InformationRequiredEvent	TICKET_INFO_REQUIRED	The Seller requires more information from the Buyer for a Ticket to continue processing a Ticket. The details on what information is needed from the Buyer will be provided via a Ticket note. The Ticket status is pending. Note: The Buyer uses the Patch operation to provide more information for a Ticket.
troubleTicket- ResolvedEvent	TICKET_RESOLVED	The Seller is informing the Buyer the Ticket is resolved and the Buyer to verify that the Issue on which the Ticket was based is no longer observed. The Ticket status is resolved. Note: The Buyer confirms if the Issue has been resolved satisfactorily or not using close or reopen operations
incident- CreateEvent	INCIDENT_CREATE	A new Incident was created by the Seller.
<pre>incident- AttributeValueChangeEvent</pre>	INCIDENT_UPDATE	An open Incident was updated by the Seller.
incident- StatusChangeEvent	INCIDENT_STATE_CHANGE	An Incident status was changed by the Seller.

#### Table 11. Notification types mapping

[R60] The Seller MUST send a troubleTicketAttributeValueChangeEvent whenever the Seller updates any of the following Ticket attributes: [MEF113 R156]

- sellerSeverity
- sellerPriority
- expectedResolutionDate
- note
- attachment
- relatedContactInformation
- relatedIssue
- workOrder including updates to a Referenced WorkOrder

[R61] The Seller MUST send a troubleTicketStatusChangeEvent whenever a Ticket status change occurs. [MEF113 R157]

[R62] Whenever the Ticket status is changed to pending, the Seller MUST add a note to the Ticket to inform the Buyer about what additional information is required for the Ticket or for the Buyer to schedule an Appointment to continue processing the Ticket. [MEF113 R159]

[R63] The Seller MUST send a troubleTicketInformationRequiredEvent whenever the Ticket status has been changed to pending and the appointmentRequired attribute for all WorkOrders linked to the Ticket are false. [MEF113 R160]

[R64] If the appointmentRequired attribute for a Workorder is true, the Seller MUST set the status of the Ticket associated to the Workorder to pending. [MEF113 R158]

[R65] The Seller MUST send an troubleTicketResolvedEvent whenever the Ticket status is changed to resolved. [MEF113 R161]

[R66] The Seller MUST send an incidentCreateEvent whenever a new Incident has been created. [MEF113 R168]

[R67] The Seller MUST send a incidentAttributeValueChangeEvent whenever the Seller updates any of the Incident attributes (excluding status) [MEF113 R169]

[R68] The Seller MUST send a incidentStatusChangeEvent whenever an Incident status change occurs. [MEF113 R170]

[R69] When the Incident status moves to inProgress, the Seller MUST set the expectedClosedDate. [MEF113 R171]

[R70] The Seller MUST NOT send an IncidentEvent to a Buyer for an Incident impacting a Product that the Seller has not activated on behalf of the Buyer. [MEF113 R172]

## 7. API Details

#### 7.1. API patterns

#### 7.1.1. Indicating errors

Erroneous situations are indicated by appropriate HTTP responses. An error response is indicated by HTTP status 4xx (for client errors) or 5xx (for server errors) and appropriate response payload. The Product Order API uses the error responses as depicted and described below.

Implementations can use HTTP error codes not specified in this standard in compliance with rules defined in RFC 7231 [RFC7231]. In such a case, the error message body structure might be aligned with the Error.




Figure 17: Data model types to represent an erroneous response

## 7.1.1.1. Type Error

**Description:** Standard Class used to describe API response error Not intended to be used directly. The code in the HTTP header is used as a discriminator for the type of error returned in runtime.

Name	Type	Description
message	string	Text that provides mode details and corrective actions related to the error. This can be shown to a client user.
reason*	string maxLength = 255	Text that explains the reason the for error. This can be shown to a client user.
referenceError	uri format = uri	URL pointing to documentation describing the error

## 7.1.1.2. Type Error400

**Description:** Bad Request. (https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7231#section-6.5.1)

Inherits from:

• Error

Name	Type	Description
		One of the following error codes: - missingQueryParameter: The
		URI is missing a required query-string parameter -
code*	Error400Code	missingQueryValue: The URI is missing a required query-string
		parameter value - invalidQuery: The query section of the URI is
		invalid invalidBody: The request has an invalid body

#### 7.1.1.3. enum Error400Code

**Description:** One of the following error codes:

- missingQueryParameter: The URI is missing a required query-string parameter
- missingQueryValue: The URI is missing a required query-string parameter value
- invalidQuery: The query section of the URI is invalid.
- invalidBody: The request has an invalid body

#### 7.1.1.4. Type Error4O1

**Description:** Unauthorized. (https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7235#section-3.1)

Inherits from:

• Error

Name	Type	Description
code*	Error401Code	One of the following error codes: - missingCredentials: No credentials provided invalidCredentials: Provided credentials are invalid or expired

#### 7.1.1.5. enum Error401Code

**Description:** One of the following error codes:

- missingCredentials: No credentials provided.
- invalidCredentials: Provided credentials are invalid or expired

## 7.1.1.6. Type Error403

**Description:** Forbidden. This code indicates that the server understood the request but refuses to authorize it. (https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7231#section-6.5.3)

Inherits from:

• Error

Name Type Description

Name	Type	Description
code*	Error403Code	This code indicates that the server understood the request but refuses to authorize it because of one of the following error codes: - accessDenied: Access denied - forbiddenRequester: Forbidden requester - tooManyUsers: Too many users

#### 7.1.1.7. enum Error403Code

**Description:** This code indicates that the server understood the request but refuses to authorize it because of one of the following error codes:

• accessDenied: Access denied

• forbiddenRequester: Forbidden requester

• tooManyUsers: Too many users

#### 7.1.1.8. Type Error404

**Description:** Resource for the requested path not found. (https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7231#section-6.5.4)

Inherits from:

• Error

# Name Type Description code\* string The following error code: - notFound: A current representation for the target resource not found

### 7.1.1.9. Type Error409

**Description:** Conflict (https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc7231#section-6.5.8)

Inherits from:

• Error

# Name Type Description code\* string The following error code: - conflict: The client has provided a value whose semantics are not appropriate for the property.

### 7.1.1.10. Type Error422

The response for HTTP status 422 is a list of elements that are structured using the Error422 data type. Each list item describes a business validation problem. This type introduces the propertyPath attribute which points to the erroneous property of the request, so that the Buyer may fix it easier. It is highly recommended that this property should be used, yet remains optional because it might be hard to implement.

**Description:** Unprocessable entity due to a business validation problem. (https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4918#section-11.2)

#### Inherits from:

#### • Error

Name	Type	Description
code*	Error422Code	One of the following error codes: - missingProperty: The property the Seller has expected is not present in the payload - invalidValue: The property has an incorrect value - invalidFormat: The property value does not comply with the expected value format - referenceNotFound: The object referenced by the property cannot be identified in the Seller system - unexpectedProperty: Additional property, not expected by the Seller has been provided - tooManyRecords: the number of records to be provided in the response exceeds the Seller's threshold otherIssue: Other problem was identified (detailed information provided in a reason)
propertyPath	string	A pointer to a particular property of the payload that caused the validation issue. It is highly recommended that this property should be used. Defined using JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Pointer (https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6901).

#### 7.1.1.11. enum Error422Code

**Description:** One of the following error codes:

- missingProperty: The property the Seller has expected is not present in the payload
- invalidValue: The property has an incorrect value
- invalidFormat: The property value does not comply with the expected value format
- referenceNotFound: The object referenced by the property cannot be identified in the Seller system
- unexpectedProperty: Additional property, not expected by the Seller has been provided
- tooManyRecords: the number of records to be provided in the response exceeds the Seller's threshold.
- otherIssue: Other problem was identified (detailed information provided in a reason)

#### 7.1.1.12. Type Error500

**Description:** Internal Server Error. (https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7231#section-6.6.1)

Inherits from:

• Error

#### Name Type Description

#### Name Type Description

The following error code: - internalError: Internal server error - the server code\* string encountered an unexpected condition that prevented it from fulfilling the request.

#### 7.1.1.13. Type Error501

**Description:** Not Implemented. Used in case Seller is not supporting an optional operation (https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7231#section-6.6.2)

#### Inherits from:

• Error

#### Name Type Description

code\* string The following error code: - notImplemented: Method not supported by the server

#### 7.2. Management API Data model

Figure 18 presents the whole Trouble Ticket Management data model the data types, requirements related to them and mapping to MEF 113 specifications are discussed later in this section.




Figure 18: Trouble Ticket Management Data Model

#### 7.2.1. TroubleTicket

#### 7.2.1.1. Type TroubleTicket\_Common

**Description:** A Trouble Ticket is a record of an issue that is created, tracked, and managed by a Trouble Ticket management system Skipped properties: id,href

Name Type M/O Description MEF 113

Name	Туре	M/O	Description	MEF 113
attachment	AttachmentValue[]	O	Attachments to the Ticket, such as a file, screenshot or embedded content. Attachments may be added but may not be modified or deleted (for historical reasons).	Attachments
description	string	M	Summarized description of the Issue the Buyer is experiencing.	Description
externalId	string	O	Identifier provided by the Buyer to allow the Buyer to use as a search attribute in Retrieve Ticket List.	Buyer Ticket Identifier
issueStartDate	date-time  format = date-time	O	The date indicating when the Buyer first observed the Issue, to provide the Seller with additional insight.	
note	Note[]	О	A set of comments or information associated to the Ticket. This list can be empty. Notes may be added but may not be modified or deleted (for historical reasons).	Notes
observedImpact	MEFObserved- ImpactType	M	The type of impact observed by the Buyer.	Observed Impact
priority	TroubleTicket- PriorityType	M	The priority (ITIL) is based on the assessment of the impact and urgency of how quickly the Ticket should be resolved as evaluated by the Buyer. The Priority is used by the Seller to determine the order in which Tickets get resolved across Buyers.	Priority
relatedContact- Information	RelatedContact-Information[]  minItems = 1	M	Party playing a role for this Trouble Ticket. The 'role' is to specify the type of contact as specified in MEF 113: Reporter Contact ('role=reporterContact') - REQUIRED in the request Buyer Technical Contacts ('role=buyerTechnicalContact') Seller Ticket Contact ('role=sellerTicketContact') Seller Technical Contact ('role=sellerTechnicalContact')	Reporter Contact, Buyer Technical Contacts, Seller Ticket Contact, Seller Technical Contacts

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
relatedEntity	RelatedEntity[]  minItems = 1  maxItems = 1	M	An entity that is related to the ticket such as a bill, a product, etc. The entity against which the ticket is associated.	
relatedIssue	IssueRelationship[]	O	A list of Related Issue relationships. Represents relationships to other Trouble Tickets and Incidents.	
severity	TroubleTicket- SeverityType	M	The severity or impact (ITIL) of the Issue as evaluated by the Buyer.	Severity
ticketType	TroubleTicketType	M	The presumed cause of the Trouble Ticket as evaluated by the Buyer.	Туре

### 7.2.1.2. Type TroubleTicket\_Create

**Description:** A Trouble Ticket is a record of an issue that is created, tracked, and managed by a Trouble Ticket management system The modeling pattern introduces the Common supertype to aggregate attributes that are common to both TroubleTicket and TroubleTicket\_Create. It this case the Create type has a subset of attributes of the response type and does not introduce any new, thus the Create type has an empty definition.

#### Inherits from:

• TroubleTicket Common

## 7.2.1.3. Type TroubleTicket

**Description:** A Trouble Ticket is a record of an issue that is created, tracked, and managed by a Trouble Ticket management system

#### Inherits from:

• TroubleTicket Common

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
creationDate	date-time  format = date-time	M	The date on which the Trouble Ticket was created	Ticket Creation Date
expected- ResolutionDate	date-time  format = date-time	O	The date provided by the Seller to indicate when the Ticket is expected to be resolved	Target Resolved Date
href	string	O	Hyperlink, a reference to the Trouble Ticket entity	Not represented in MEF 113

Name	Type	M/O	Description	<b>MEF 113</b>
id	string	M	Unique (within the Seller Ticket domain) identifier for the Ticket.	Ticket Identifier
resolutionDate	date-time  format = date-time	О	The date the Ticket status was set to resolved by the Seller	Resolved Date
sellerPriority	TroubleTicket- PriorityType	M	The priority (ITIL) is based on the assessment of the impact and urgency of how quickly the Ticket should be resolved after evaluation by the Seller of the impact of the Issue on the Buyer.	Seller Priority
sellerSeverity	TroubleTicket- SeverityType	M	The severity or impact (ITIL) of the Issue on the Buyer as evaluated by the Seller.	Seller Severity
status	TroubleTicket- StatusType	M	The current status of the Trouble Ticket	Ticket State
statusChange	TroubleTicket- StatusChange[]	M	The status change history that is associated to the ticket. Populated by the Seller.	Not represented in MEF 113
workOrder	WorkOrderRef[]	О	A reference to a set of WorkOrders to be performed under the responsibility of Seller technician(s) to resolve the Ticket.	Workorders

# 7.2.1.4. Type TroubleTicket\_Find

**Description:** This class represents a single list item for the response of listTroubleTicket operation.

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
creationDate	date-time  format = date-time	M	The date on which the Trouble Ticket was created	Ticket Creation Date
description	string	M	Summarized description of the Issue the Buyer is experiencing.	Description
expected- ResolutionDate	date-time  format = date-time	M	The date provided by the Seller to indicate when the Ticket is expected to be resolved	Target Resolved Date
externalId	string	M	Additional identifier coming from an external system	Buyer Ticket Identifier
id	string	M	Unique identifier of the Trouble Ticket	Ticket Identifier

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113		
priority	TroubleTicket- PriorityType	M	The priority (ITIL) is based on the assessment of the impact and urgency of how quickly the Ticket should be resolved as evaluated by the Buyer. The Priority is used by the Seller to determine the order in which Tickets get resolved across Buyers.	Priority		
relatedEntity	RelatedEntity[] minItems = 1	M	An entity that is related to the ticket such as a bill, a product, etc. The entity against which the ticket is associated.	Product Identifier		
observedImpact	MEFObserved- ImpactType	M	The type of impact observed by the Buyer.	Observed Impact		
resolutionDate	date-time  format = date-time	M	The date the Ticket status was set to resolved by the Seller	Resolved Date		
sellerPriority	TroubleTicket- PriorityType	M	The priority (ITIL) is based on the assessment of the impact and urgency of how quickly the Ticket should be resolved after evaluation by the Seller of the impact of the Issue on the Buyer.	Seller Priority		
sellerSeverity	TroubleTicket- SeverityType	M	The severity or impact (ITIL) of the Ticket on the Buyer as evaluated by the Seller.	Seller Severity		
severity	TroubleTicket- SeverityType	M	The severity or impact (ITIL) of the Ticket as evaluated by the Buyer.	Severity		
status	TroubleTicket- StatusType	M	The current status of the Trouble Ticket	Not represented in MEF 113		
ticketType	TroubleTicketType	M	The presumed cause of the M Trouble Ticket as evaluated by the Buyer.			

# 7.2.1.5. Type TroubleTicket\_Update

**Description:** A Trouble Ticket is a record of an issue that is created, tracked, and managed by a Trouble Ticket management system

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
attachment	AttachmentValue[]	O	Attachments to the Ticket, such as a file, screen shot or embedded content.	

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113	
externalId	string	О	Additional identifier coming from an external system	Buyer Ticket Identifier	
issueStartDate	date-time  format = date-time	O	The date indicating when the Buyer first observed the Issue, to provide the Seller with additional insight.	issueStartDate	
observedImpact	MEFObserved- ImpactType	O	The type of impact observed by the Buyer.	Observed Impact	
note	Note[]	O	A set of comments or information associated to the Ticket. This list can be empty. Notes may be added but may not be modified or deleted (for historical reasons).	e e d Notes or	
priority	TroubleTicket- PriorityType	O	The priority (ITIL) is based on the assessment of the impact and urgency of how quickly the Ticket should be resolved as evaluated by the Buyer. The Priority is used by the Seller to determine the order in which Tickets get resolved across Buyers.	Priority	
relatedContact- Information	RelatedContact- Information[]	O	Contact information for this Ticket.	Reporter Contact, Buyer Technical Contacts, Seller Ticket Contact, Seller Technical Contacts	
relatedIssue	IssueRelationship[]	O	A list of Related Issue relationships. Represents relationships to other Trouble Tickets and Incidents.	Related Objects	
severity	TroubleTicket- SeverityType	O	The severity of the issue. Indicate the implication of the issue on the expected functionality e.g. of a system, application, service etc	Not represented in MEF 113	

# 7.2.1.6. enum TroubleTicketPriorityType

**Description:** Possible values for the priority of the Trouble Ticket

Value	<b>MEF 113</b>					
low	LOW					
medium	MEDIUM					
high	HIGH					
critical	CRITICAL					

## 7.2.1.7. Type IssueRelationship

**Description:** Represents relationships to other Trouble Tickets and Incidents

Name	Type	M/O	M/O Description				
@referredType	string	M	The type of the referred Issue (Incident or TroubleTicket)	Related Object Type			
creationDate	date-time  format = date-time	M	The date the relationship was created	Relation Creation Date			
description	string	M	A description of the reason for the Relation Source to set the relationship	Relation Reason Description			
href	string	O	Reference of the Trouble Ticket or Incident	Not represented in MEF 113			
id	string	M	Unique identifier of the referenced Issue (Trouble Ticket od Incident)	Related Object Identifier			
relationshipType	string	M	Type of the Trouble Ticket relationship can be blocks, depends on, duplicates, causes, etc	Relation Type			
source	MEFBuyerSellerType	M	Indicates if this Related Issue was added by the Buyer or the Seller.	Relation Source			

# $\textbf{7.2.1.8.} \; \underline{\textbf{enum}} \; \textbf{TroubleTicketSeverityType}$

**Description:** Possible values for the severity of the Trouble Ticket

Value	MEF 113					
minor	MINOR					
moderate	MODERATE					
significant	SIGNIFICANT					

Value	MEF 113				
extensive	EXTENSIVE				

## 7.2.1.9. enum MEFObservedImpactType

**Description:** An enumeration of the possible values of impact observed by the Buyer.

- degraded: When the Product is impacted and not meeting the Product specifications.
- intermittent: When the Product is not operational as intended on an intermittent basis.
- down: When the Product is non-operational.

## 7.2.1.10. Type TroubleTicketStatusChange

**Description:** Holds the status notification reasons and associated date the status changed, populated by the server

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
changeDate	date-time  format = date-time	O	The date and time the status changed.	Not represented in MEF 113
changeReason	string	О	The reason why the status changed.	Not represented in MEF 113
status	TroubleTicketStatusType	О	Reached status	Not represented in MEF 113

## 7.2.1.11. enum TroubleTicketStatusType

**Description:** Possible values for the status of the Trouble Ticket

status	MEF 113 name	Description		
acknowledged	ACKNOWLEDGED	A request to create a Ticket was received and accepted by the Seller. The Ticket create request has been validated and a Ticket has been created by the Seller and allocated a unique id.		
assessingCancellation	ASSESSING_ CANCELLATION	A request has been made by the Buyer to cancel the Ticket and is being assessed by the Seller to determine whether to just close the Ticket, or continue to resolve the Issue to prevent similar Create Ticket requests from other Buyers. If the Seller chooses to resolve the Issue, the Seller might create an Incident or an internal Ticket for the Issue, but that is outside the scope of this document. After the Seller has completed the assessment, the Seller updates the Ticket State to cancelled.		

status	MEF 113 name	Description
cancelled	CANCELLED	The Ticket has been successfully cancelled by the Buy-er. The Buyer will receive no further Event Notifications for the Ticket. This is a terminal state.
closed	CLOSED	The Buyer has confirmed that the Issue they reported is no longer observed, or the pre-defined time frame (agreed upon between Buyer and Seller) for confirming that the Issue has been resolved has passed without a response by the Buyer. This is a terminal state.
inProgress	IN_PROGRESS	The Ticket is in the process of being handled and investigated for resolution by the Seller.
pending	PENDING	The Seller is waiting on the Buyer to provide additional information for the Ticket, or the Buyer to schedule an Appointment for the WorkOrder (linked to the Ticket) in order to continue processing the Ticket. This may result in the clock being stopped for the service level agreement until the Buyer has responded to the request.
reopened	REOPENED	The Buyer has verified that the Issue described in the Ticket is still observed and has not been resolved satisfactorily. The Buyer rejects the Seller's request to close the Ticket. The Ticket has been reopened and is waiting for further actions from the Seller.
resolved	RESOLVED	The Buyer's Issue described in the Ticket was resolved by the Seller. The Seller assumes that normal operation is reestablished for the Buyer's product and i snow waiting for the Buyer to confirm that the Issue they reported is no longer observed.

## 7.2.1.12. enum TroubleTicketType

**Description:** Possible values for the type of the Trouble Ticket:

- assistance: Requesting help for a situation (not a failure) requiring attention that is not categorized.
- information: Buyer is requesting information on the Issue
- installation: Related to installation issue. Provisioning is complete, but Product is not operational.
- maintenance: Any scheduled or non-scheduled maintenance related Issue.

#### **7.2.1.13. Type Reason**

**Description:** An object to convey a reason for the operation.

Name	Type	M/O	De	scripti	ion				MEF 113
reason	ctring	M	A	text	description	of	why	given	Closure Rejection
reason string	1 <b>V1</b>	ope	eration	was requeste	d.			Reason	

## 7.2.1.14. Type WorkOrderRef

**Description:** A reference to an WorkOrder resource.

Name	Type	M/O	Description			MEF 113
href	string	O	WorkOrder.			Not represented in MEF 113
id	string	M	Identifier of WorkOrder.	the	referenced	Workorder Identifier

#### 7.2.2. Incident

## 7.2.2.1. Type Incident

**Description:** An Incident is a record of an issue that is not part of normal operation in the Seller's network that has a possible negative impact on the operability of the network on one or more Buyers.

Name	Type	M/O	Description	<b>MEF 113</b>
attachment	AttachmentValue[]	O	Attachments to the Incident, such as a file or screenshot. Attachments may be added but may not be modified or deleted (for historical reasons).	Attachments
closedDate	date-time  format = date-time	О	The date the Incident status was set to closed by the Seller	Incident Closed Date
creationDate	date-time  format = date-time	M	The date on which the Incident was created	Incident Creation Date
description	string	M	Description of the Incident	Description
expectedClosedDate	date-time  format = date-time	О	The date provided by the Seller to indicate when the Incident is expected to be closed.	Incident Expected Closed Date
href	string	О	Hyperlink, a reference to the Incident entity	Not represented in MEF 113
id	string	M	Unique (within the Seller domain) identifier for the Incident.	Incident Identifier

Name	Туре	M/O	Description	MEF 113
impact	MEFObserved- ImpactType	M	The presumed impact on the Buyer for the referenced Product(s).	Incident Impact
incidentType	IncidentType	M	The presumed cause of the Incident as evaluated by the Seller.	Incident Type
note	Note[]	O	A set of unstructured comments or information associated to the Incident. Notes may be added but may not be modified or deleted (for historical reasons).	Incident Notes
priority	TroubleTicket- PriorityType	M	The priority (ITIL) is based on the assessment of the impact and urgency of how quickly the Incident should be resolved after evaluation by the Seller of the impact of the Incident.	Incident Priority
relatedContact- Information	RelatedContact- Information[]  minItems = 1	M	Party playing a role in this Incident. The 'role' is to specify the type of contact as specified in MEF 113: Incident Contact ('role=incidentContact') - REQUIRED to be set by the Seller Incident Technical Contact ('role=incidentTechnicalContact')	Incident Contact, Incident Technical Contact
relatedEntity	RelatedEntity[]  mintrems = 1	M	A set of identifiers of the Products on which the Incident could have an impact on the normal operation.	
relatedIssue	IssueRelationship[]	О	A list of Related Issue relationships. Represents relationships to other Trouble Tickets and Incidents.	Related Objects
severity	TroubleTicket- SeverityType	M	The severity (ITIL) of the Incident as evaluated by the Seller.	Incident Severity
situationStartDate	date-time  format = date-time	M	The date when the situation was first identified, for example via error logs.	Situation Start Date
status	IncidentStatusType	M	The current status of the Incident	Incident State
statusChange	Incident- StatusChange[]	M	The status change history that is associated to the Incident. Populated by the Seller.	Not represented in MEF 113

# 7.2.2.2. Type Incident\_Find

**Description:** This class represents a single list item for the response of listIncident operation.

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
closedDate	date-time  format = date-time	O	The date the Incident status was set to closed by the Seller	Incident Closed Date
creationDate	date-time	M	The date on which the Incident was created	Incident Creation Date
description	string	M	Description of the Incident	Description
expectedClosedDate	date-time  format = date-time	O	The date provided by the Seller to indicate when the Incident is expected to be closed.	Incident Expected Closed Date
href	string	O	Hyperlink, a reference to the Incident entity	Not represented in MEF 113
id	string	M	Unique (within the Seller domain) identifier for the Incident.	Incident Identifier
impact	MEFObserved- ImpactType	M	The type of impact observed by the Buyer.	Incident Impact
incidentType	IncidentType	M	The presumed cause of the Incident as evaluated by the Seller.	Incident Type
situationStartDate	date-time  format = date-time	О	The date when the Incident was first identified, for example via error logs.	Situation Start Date
priority	TroubleTicket- PriorityType	M	The priority (ITIL) is based on the assessment of the impact and urgency of how quickly the Incident should be resolved after evaluation by the Seller of the impact of the Incident.	Incident Priority
relatedEntity	RelatedEntity[] minItems = 1	M	An entity that is related to the Incident such as a service, a product, etc. The entity which the Incident is associated with.	Product Identifier
severity	TroubleTicket- SeverityType	M	The severity or impact (ITIL) of the Incident as evaluated by the Seller.	Incident Severity
status	IncidentStatusType	M	The current status of the Incident	Incident State

#### 7.2.2.3. enum IncidentType

**Description:** Possible values for the type of the Incident:

- maintenance: Any scheduled or non-scheduled maintenance related Incident.
- repair: Any non-scheduled Situation requiring repair by the Seller.
- installation: Any installation related Situation requiring action by the Seller.

#### 7.2.2.4. enum IncidentStatusType

**Description:** Possible values for the status of the Incident

status	MEF 113 name	Description
closed	CLOSED	The Situation described in the Incident was closed by has been resolved and normal operation has been restored on the Seller.Seller's network. This is a terminal state.
created	CREATED	A new Incident has been created and allocated a unique id.
inProgress	IN_PROGRESS	The Incident is in the process of being handled and investigated for resolution by the Seller.

#### 7.2.2.5. Type IncidentStatusChange

**Description:** Holds the status notification reasons and associated date the status changed, populated by the server

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
changeDate	date-time  format = date-time	O	The date and time the status changed.	Not represented in MEF 113
changeReason	string	О	The reason why the status changed.	Not represented in MEF 113
status	IncidentStatusType	О	Reached status	Not represented in MEF 113

#### 7.2.3. Common

Types described in this subsection are shared among two or more Cantata and Sonata APIs.

#### 7.2.3.1. Type Attachment Value

**Description:** Complements the description of an element (for instance a product) through video, pictures...

Name	Type		Description	<b>MEF 113</b>
author	string	M	The name of the person or organization who added the Attachment.	Attachment Author

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
creationDate	date-time  format = date-time	M	The date the Attachment was added.	Attachment Date
description	string	О	A narrative text describing the content of the attachment	Description
mimeType	string	O	Attachment mime type such as extension file for video, picture and document	Mime Type
name	string	M	The name of the attachment	Attachment Name
size	MEFByteSize	O	The size of the attachment.	Size
source	MEFBuyerSellerType	M	Indicates if the attachment was added by the Buyer or the Seller.	Attachment Source
url	string	M	URL where the attachment is located.	URL

#### 7.2.3.2. enum DataSizeUnit

**Description:** The unit of measure in the data size.

#### Value

**BYTES** 

**KBYTES** 

**MBYTES** 

GBYTES

TBYTES

PBYTES

EBYTES

**ZBYTES** 

**YBYTES** 

## 7.2.3.3. Type FieldedAddressRepresentation

**Description:** A type of Address that has a discrete field and value for each type of boundary or identifier down to the lowest level of detail. For example "street number" is one field, "street name" is another field, etc.

Name	Type	M/O	Description	<b>MEF 113</b>
streetNr	string	O 	Number identifying a specific property on a public street. It may be combined with streetNrLast for ranged addresses.	

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
streetNrSuffix	string	О	The first street number suffix (in a street number range) or the suffix for the street number if there is no range	Street Number Suffix
streetNrLast	string	O	Last number in a range of street numbers allocated to an Address	Street Number Last
streetNrLastSuffix	string	O	Last street number suffix for a ranged Address	Street Number Last Suffix
streetPreDirection	string	O	The direction of the street that appears before the Street Name	Street Pre- Direction
streetName	string	О	Name of the street or other street type	Street Name
streetType	string	О	The type of street (e.g., alley, avenue, boulevard, brae, crescent, drive, highway, lane, terrace, parade, place, tarn, way, wharf)	Street Type
streetPostDirection	string	O	A modifier denoting a relative direction that appears after the Street Name.	Street Post- Direction
poBox	string	О	Number identifying a specific location in a post office.	PO Box Number
locality	string	О	An area of defined or undefined boundaries within a local authority or other legislatively defined area.	Locality
city	string	О	City in which the Address is located.	City
postcode	string	О	A descriptor for a postal delivery area used to speed and simplify the delivery of mail (also known as zip code)	Postal Code
postcodeExtension	string	О	The extension used on a postal code. Note: there are different use codes for this attribute depending upon the country.	Postal Code Extension
stateOrProvince	string	О	The State or Province in which the Address is located.	State or Province
countryCode	string minLength = 2 maxLength = 2	О	Country in which the Address is located, defined using two characters as defined in ISO 3166	Country

Name	Type	M/O	Description	<b>MEF 113</b>
subUnit	SubUnit[]	O	The Sub Unit represented as a list. This is a list to allow complex sub- unit information such as SUITE 42 ROOM A	Sub Units
buildingName	string	O	The well-known name of a building that is located at this Address (e.g., where there is one Address for a campus).	Building Name
privateStreetNumber	string	O	Street number on a private street within the Address.	Private Street Number
privateStreetName	string	O	Private streets internal to a property (e.g., a university) may have internal names that are not recorded by the land title office.	Private Street Name
language	string  minLength = 2  maxLength = 2	O	The language in which the address is expressed. It MUST use the ISO 639:2023 two letter code 639:2023	Language

## 7.2.3.4. enum MEFBuyerSellerType

**Description:** An enumeration with buyer and seller values.

ValueMEF 113buyerBUYERsellerSELLER

## 7.2.3.5. Type MEFByteSize

**Description:** A size represented by value and Byte units

Name	Type	M/O	Description	<b>MEF 113</b>
amount	float  format = float	M	Numeric value in a given unit	Value
units	DataSizeUnit	M	Byte Unit	Unit

## 7.2.3.6. Type Note

**Description:** Extra information about a given entity. Only useful in processes involving human interaction. Not applicable for an automated process.

Name	Type	M/O	Description	<b>MEF 113</b>
author	string	M	Author of the note	Note Author

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
date	date-time	M	Date of the note	Note Date
	format = date-time	171	Bute of the note	
id	string	M	Identifier of the note within its containing entity (may or may not be globally unique, depending on provider implementation)	
source	MEFBuyerSellerType	M	Indicates if this Note was added by the Buyer or Seller.	Note Source
text	string	M	Text of the note	Note Text

## 7.2.3.7. Type RelatedContactInformation

**Description:** Contact data for a person or organization that is involved in the product offering qualification. In a given context it is always specified by the Seller (e.g. Seller Contact Information) or by the Buyer.

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
role	string	M	A role of the particular contact in the request	Not represented in MEF 113
number	string	M	Phone number	Contract Phone Number
emailAddress	string	M	Email address	Contact email Address
postalAddress	FieldedAddress- Representation	О	Identifies the postal address of the person or office to be contacted.	Contact Postal Address
organization	string	О	The organization or company that the contact belongs to	Contact Organization
name	string	M	Name of the contact	Contact Name
numberExtension	string	О	Phone number extension	Contract Phone Number Extension

## 7.2.3.8. Type RelatedEntity

**Description:** A reference to an entity, where the type of the entity is not known in advance.

Name	Type	M/O	Description	<b>MEF 113</b>
@referredType	string  default = Product	M	The actual type of the target instance when needed for disambiguation.	Not represented in MEF 113
href	string	О	Reference of the related entity.	Not represented in MEF 113

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
id	string	M	Unique identifier of a related entity.	Product Identifier
role	string	M	The role of an entity.	Not represented in MEF 113

## 7.2.3.9. Type SubUnit

**Description:** Allows for sub unit identification

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
subUnitNumber	string	M	The discriminator used for the subunit, often just a simple number but may also be a range.	Sub Unit Name
subUnitType	string	M	The type of subunit e.g. BERTH, FLAT, PIER, SUITE, SHOP, TOWER, UNIT, WHARF.	Sub Unit Type

## 7.2.4. Notification registration

Notification registration and management are done through /hub API endpoint. The below sections describe data models related to this endpoint.

## 7.2.4.1. Type EventSubscriptionInput

**Description:** This class is used to register for Notifications.

API name	MEF 113 name	Description			
troubleTicket- AttributeValueChangeEvent	TICKET_UPDATE	The Seller settable attributes for a Ticket were updated by the Seller. Note: Buyer initiated Ticket updates due to Patch operation will not trigger a troubleTicket-AttributeValueChangeEvent			
troubleTicket- StatusChangeEvent	TICKET_STATE_CHANGE	A Ticket status was changed by the Seller.			

API name	MEF 113 name	Description		
troubleTicket- InformationRequiredEvent	TICKET_INFO_REQUIRED	The Seller requires more information from the Buyer for a Ticket to continue processing a Ticket. The details on what information is needed from the Buyer will be provided via a Ticket note. The Ticket status is pending. Note: The Buyer uses the Patch operation to provide more information for a Ticket.		
troubleTicket- ResolvedEvent	TICKET_RESOLVED	The Seller is informing the Buyer the Ticket is resolved and the Buyer to verify that the Issue on which the Ticket was based is no longer observed. The Ticket status is resolved. Note: The Buyer confirms if the Issue has been resolved satisfactorily or not using close or reopen operations		
incidentCreateEvent	INCIDENT_CREATE	A new Incident was created by the Seller.		
<pre>incident- AttributeValueChangeEvent</pre>	INCIDENT_UPDATE	An open Incident was updated by the Seller.		
incident- StatusChangeEvent	INCIDENT_STATE_CHANGE	An Incident status was changed by the Seller.		
Name Type M/O	Description	<b>MEF 113</b>		
This callback value must be set to *host* property from Buyer Notification API (troubleTicketNotification.api.yaml). This property is appended with the base path and notification resource path specified in that API to construct an URL to which notification is sent. E.g. for "callback": "http://buyer.mef.com/listenerEndpoint", the state change event notification will be sent to: `http://buyer.mef.com/listenerEndpoint /mefApi/sonata/troubleTicketNotification /v2/listener/troubleTicketStatusChangeEvent`				

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
query	string	O	This attribute is used to define to which type of events to register to. Example: "query": "eventType = troubleTicketStatusChangeEvent". To subscribe for more than one event type, put the values separated by comma: 'eventType=troubleTicketStatusChangeEvent, troubleTicketResolvedEvent'. The possible values are enumerated by the 'TroubleTicketEventType' in troubleTicketNotification.api.yaml. An empty query is treated as specifying no filters ending in subscription for all event types.	Event Notification

## 7.2.4.2. Type EventSubscription

**Description:** Sets the communication endpoint address the service instance must use to deliver notification information

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
callback	string	M	The value provided by the Buyer in 'EventSubscriptionInput' during notification registration	Notification Target Information
id	string	M	An identifier of the event subscription assigned by the Seller when a resource is created.	Not represented in MEF 113
query	string	О	This attribute is used to define notification registration constraints.	List of Event Notification Types, Action

#### 7.3. Notification API Data model

Figure 19 presents the Trouble Ticket Management Notification data model.

## Figure 19. Trouble Ticket Management Notification Data Model

This data model is used to construct requests and responses of the API endpoints described in Section 5.2.2.

#### 7.3.1. Type Event

**Description:** Event class is used to describe information structure used for notification.

Name	Type	M/O	Description	1			MEF	7 113	
eventId	string	M	Id of the event		Event Identifier				
eventTime	date-time  format = date-time	M	Date-time occurred	when	the	event	Not MEF	represented 113	in

Trouble Ticket Management Notification Data Model

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
eventType	string	M	The type of the notification.	Event Notification Type
event	object	M	The event linked to the involved resource object	Not represented in MEF 127.1

## $7.3.2.\ Type\ Trouble Ticket Attribute Value Change Event$

## **Description:**

Inherits from:

• Event

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
eventType	string	M	Indicates the type of the event.	Event Notification Type
event	TroubleTicketEventPayload	M	A reference to the object that is the source of the notification.	

## $7.3.3.\,Type\,Trouble Ticket Event Payload$

**Description:** The identifier of the Trouble Ticket being subject of this event.

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
id	string	M	ID of the Trouble Ticket	Trouble Ticket Identifier
href	string	О	Hyperlink to access the Trouble Ticket	Not represented in MEF 113

## $7.3.4.\ Type\ Trouble Ticket Information Required Event$

## **Description:**

Inherits from:

• Event

Name	Type	M/O	Description	<b>MEF 113</b>
eventType	string	M	Indicates the type of the event.	Event Notification Type
event	TroubleTicketEventPayload	M	A reference to the object that is the source of the notification.	

## 7.3.5. Type TroubleTicketResolvedEvent

## **Description:**

Inherits from:

• Event

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
eventType	string	M	Indicates the type of the event.	Event Notification Type
event	TroubleTicketEventPayload	M	A reference to the object that is the source of the notification.	

# 7.3.6. Type TroubleTicketStatusChangeEvent

## **Description:**

Inherits from:

• Event

Name	Type	M/O	Description	<b>MEF 113</b>
eventType	string	M	Indicates the type of the event.	
event	Trouble Ticket Status Change Event Payload	M	A reference to the object that is the source of the notification.	

## $7.3.7.\ Type\ Trouble Ticket Status Change Event Payload$

**Description:** The identifier of the Trouble Ticket being subject of this event.

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
id	string	M	ID of the Trouble Ticket	Trouble Ticket Identifier
href	string	О	Hyperlink to access the Trouble Ticket	Not represented in MEF 113
status	TroubleTicketStatusType	M	The current status of the Trouble Ticket	Not represented in MEF 113

## $7.3.8. \ Type\ Incident Attribute Value Change Event$

## **Description:**

Inherits from:

• Event

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
eventType	string	M	Indicates the type of the event.	Event Notification Type
event	IncidentEventPayload	M	A reference to the object that is the source of the notification.	Not represented in MEF 113

# 7.3.9. Type IncidentCreateEvent

## **Description:**

Inherits from:

• Event

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
eventType	string	M	Indicates the type of the event.	Event Notification Type
event	IncidentEventPayload	M	A reference to the object that is the source of the notification.	Not represented in MEF 113

## 7.3.10. Type IncidentEventPayload

**Description:** The identifier of the Incident being subject of this event.

Namo	e Type	M/O	Description			MEF 113
id	string	M	ID of the Incident			Incident Identifier
href	string	О	Hyperlink to Incident	access	the	Not represented in MEF 113

# 7.3.11. Type IncidentStatusChangeEvent

## **Description:**

Inherits from:

• Event

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
eventType	string	M	Indicates the type of the event.	Event Notification Type
event	IncidentStatusChangeEventPayload	M	A reference to the object that is the source of the notification.	Not represented in MEF 113

# 7.3.12. Type IncidentStatusChangeEventPayload

**Description:** The identifier of the Trouble Ticket being subject of this event.

Name	Type	M/O	Description	MEF 113
id	string	M	ID of the Trouble Ticket	Trouble Ticket Identifier
href	string	0	Hyperlink to access the Trouble Ticket	Not represented in MEF 113
status	IncidentStatusType	M	The current status of the Trouble Ticket	Not represented in MEF 113

#### 8. References

- Open API 3.0, February 2020
- MEF 55.1, Lifecycle Service Orchestration (LSO): Reference Architecture and Framework, February 2021
- MEF 55.1.1, Amendment to MEF 55.1: Reference Architecture and Framework Terminology, June 2023
- MEF 113 Trouble Ticketing Business Requirements and Use Cases, July 2022
- MEF 128.1, LSO API Security Profile, April 2024
- MEF 137 LSO Cantata and LSO Sonata Appointment Management API Developer Guide, October 2022
- Mplify 150, Installation Place and Service Site Management Business Requirements and Use Cases, June 2025
- REST Fielding, Roy Thomas, Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures (Ph.D.).
- RFC 2119, Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels, March 1997
- RFC 3986 Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax, January 2005
- RFC 7231, Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Semantics and Content, June 2014 https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7231
- RFC 8174, Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words, May 2017
- TMF 621, Trouble Ticket API REST Specification R19.0.1, November 2019
- TMF 630 TMF630 API Design Guidelines 4.2.0

# Appendix A Acknowledgments

Mike **BENCHECK** 

Tomasz CHMAL

Pankaj **BODADE** 

Michał ŁĄCZYŃSKI

Jack PUGACZEWSKI

Patrick ROOSEN

Fahim **SABIR**